



## A Comparative Study of Two Different Forward Osmosis Membranes Tested using Pilot Plant System for Arabian Gulf Seawater Desalination

Dr. Mansour Ahmed Associate Research Scientist Water Research Center Kuwait Institute for Scientific Research

## **Desalination Challenges at Northern Kuwait**

- Sabiya Desalination Plant is facing serious challenge as seawater feed contains high concentrations of silt and sand.
- RO technology is not feasible at Sabiya.
- MSF is the only technology utilized at Sabiya Desalination Plant.



#### Satellite Image of Sabiya Feed Intake

## Desalination Challenges at Northern Kuwait (cont'd)

- MSF at Sabiya encounters scale deposition and fouling problems;
- High energy consumption by MSF;
- Increase in maintenance costs;
- Desalinated water cost at Sabiya is high; and
- Reduction in process performance and availability.



Heat exchanger covered with silt



Scale formation in distiller pipes

## **Kuwait Vision 2035**

- Kuwait government's 2035 economic vision aimed at weaning the country off its dependence on oil and transform it into a diversified commercial and financial hub for the region.
- Several mega-projects and new urban residential developments coming up to the northern Kuwait such as: Al-Hareer City, North & South Al-Mutlaa City, Boubyan Island, Mubarak Al-Kabeer Port.
- Limited sites are available in southern coastal areas.
- Multiple sites are available in northern coastal areas.
- A substantial need for innovative desalination plants to support the government's 2035 economic vision.

# Forward Osmosis (FO) Membrane Desalination Technologies

- Forward Osmosis (FO) is a promising technology to overcome the challenges at northern coastal areas of Kuwait.
  - ✓ FO is less prone to fouling.
  - Avoids foulant accumulation with absence of hydraulic pressure.
  - Fouling control and membrane cleaning are more feasible.
  - Reported Maximum Silt Density Index (SDI) is
    8.

# Forward Osmosis (FO) Membrane Desalination Technologies (cont'd)



#### **FO Applications:**

- Emergency drinks
- Power generation
- Enhanced oil recovery
- Produced brine treatment
- Fluid concentration
- Water softening
- Seawater desalination
- Water substitution

# Comparison between RO and FO Membrane Technologies

| Sort                | Reverse Osmosis (RO)   | Forward Osmosis (FO)  |  |  |
|---------------------|--|---|--|--|
| Driven Pressure     | High hydraulic pressure  | Osmosis pressure difference   |  |  |
| Water Recovery      | 30% ~ 50%  | At least 75%  |  |  |
| Scaling and Fouling | Seriously  | Hardly  |  |  |
| Energy Consumption  | High energy demand   | Low energy demand   |  |  |
| Equipment [sic]     | High-pressure pumps; Energy recovery<br>unit; Resistant high-pressure pipelines;<br>High investment in equipment [sic] | Low investment in<br>equipment  |  |  |
| Environment Effect  | Harmfully  | Friendly  |  |  |
| Modules             | Compression resistance   | Without particular desire   |  |  |
| Application         | Normal separation system   | Temperature- sensitive<br>system; Pressure-sensitive<br>system; Renewable energy;<br>Controlled release of drug |  |  |

# Energy Consumption by Desalination Technologies (Equivalent Work)



Source: McGinnis and Elimelech, Desalination, 207 (2007), 370-382.

#### Waste Heat Utilization

Comparison between Conventional Thermal Desalination Technologies and FO Process on Waste Heat Utilization

| Technology | Gain Output<br>Ratio | Waste Heat<br>Utilization<br>(%) | Water Production<br>Rate<br>(tonne/h) |  |  |
|------------|----------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|
| MSF        | 8 – 12               | 27.1                             | 64.9 – 97.4                           |  |  |
| MED        | 6 – 12               | 63.8                             | 105.6 – 211.1                         |  |  |
| FO         | 10 - 14.8            | 85.7                             | 222.4 – 329.2                         |  |  |

Thermodynamic Analysis was conducted using UNISIM together with OLI property package. Source: M.Y. Park et al., Applied Energy, 154 (2015), 51–61.

# **FO Challenges**

#### Membrane performance

- ✓ Material CTA/TFC
- ✓ ICP/ECP
- ✓ Thickness
- ✓ Scaling & Fouling

#### Module Selection

Flat sheet, Tubular, Hollow
 Fiber, Spiral Wound

#### Draw Solution

Organic/Inorganic

#### Draw Solution Recovery

- Membrane Process
  RO, NF, MD
  Thermal Process
  - MED, MSF, Distillation



# **Collaborative Projects on Development of Forward Osmosis Technologies in Kuwait**



#### Lab-Scale FO Test Unit

Semi-Pilot FO Test Unit

**FO Pilot Test Plant** 

- Lab and pilot-scale projects involving collaboration with international partners in the areas of development of FO membranes and draw solutions for desalination.
- Efforts involve development of the state of the art of the FO membrane technologies including FO-RO and FO-thermal-based processes, such as absorption and MD.
- Future Vision: development of innovative FO powered by renewable energy and low-grade heat such as waste-heat.

# Fabrication of Innovative Membranes for Seawater Desalination



Flat Sheet Membrane Casting Machine



Hollow Fiber Membrane Spinning Machine



Hydrophobic Membranes Extruder









Porometer

**Membrane Characterization Instruments** 

WRC exerted efforts to conduct research on innovative membrane fabrication and characterization for FO, PAO, and PRO processes.

# **Available FO Technologies on Pilot**



FO-RO

#### **FO-Thermal Separation**

# Simplified Diagrams of the Available FO Technologies for Seawater Desalination

# **Proposed Hybrid FO-MD Technology**



#### A Simplified Flow Process Diagrams of the FO-MD Test Unit

**Proposed FO using Waste-Heat Generated by Gas Turbines** 



Simplified Diagram of FO Technology for Seawater Desalination

#### Proposed FO using Waste-Heat Generated by Gas Turbines for Northern Coastal Areas



#### Image of the Proposed FO technology

## KISR Project: Pilot-Scale Investigations

- KISR and Trevi System Inc. had collaborated in developing the FO system, on pilot scale, with the aim of desalinating seawater under the prevailing conditions of Kuwait.
- The capacity of the investigated FO pilot plant test unit is 10 m<sup>3</sup>/d using a commercial-scale Toyobo FO membrane.
- Investigated operating conditions:
  - Feed and Draw Solution Flow-Rate;
  - Draw Solution Temperature;
  - Draw Solution Type (Polymeric Grade); and
  - FO Membrane Type (bore size)



Schematic Diagram of the investigated FO Pilot Plant Test Unit at KISR 18

- TOYOBO developed Hollow Fiber (HF) FO membrane module with the outstanding spinning technology.
- Advantages of Hollow Fiber membrane module:
  - Larger membrane surface area
  - Less membrane thickness which reduces the osmotic pressure loss inside the membrane.
  - Improved pressure resistance.









#### FO Pilot Plant Test Unit with a Capacity of 10 m<sup>3</sup>/d



#### **Pre-treatment unit of FO Pilot Plant Test Unit**



#### **Commercial Scale FO module from Toyobo Japan**



#### **Post-treatment unit of FO Pilot Plant Test Unit**



#### **Control and Data Acquisition System**

#### Materials used in Pilot Scale Investigations

- Membrane used was recently developed commercial 10 inch HF FO membrane from TOYOBO, Japan.
- FO HF membranes tested are 135 and 230 micron membranes with approximate thickness of 100  $\mu$ m and 140  $\mu$ m, respectively.
- The polymer draw solution used was ethylene oxide-propylene oxide copolymer (TL-1150-1) patented by Trevi systems Inc.
- The feed used was Arabian Gulf seawater (AGS) obtained from beach well located at Desalination Research Plant (DRP) in Doha, Kuwait.

## **Results and Discussions**

| FS flow   | DS flow   | 135µ me   | embrane  | 230µ membrane |          |  |
|-----------|-----------|-----------|----------|---------------|----------|--|
| rate, lpm | rate, lpm | Capacity, | Recovery | Capacity,     | Recovery |  |
|           |           | m³∕d      | ratio %  | m³∕d          | ratio %  |  |
| 16.0      | 8.1       | 4.6       | 22.6     | 5.5           | 23.7     |  |
|           | 10.1      | 4.8       | 27.6     | 6.3           | 28.8     |  |
|           | 12.1      | 4.9       | 28.5     | 7.0           | 31.2     |  |
|           | 14.1      | 5.2       | 27.9     | 7.2           | 31.1     |  |
|           | 16.1      | 4.7       | 26.6     | 7.1           | 29.9     |  |
|           | 18.1      | 4.2       | 25.8     | 6.5           | 28.9     |  |

Effect of DS Flow Rate upon Production capacity and Water Recovery Ratio

## **Results and Discussions (cont'd)**

| Parameter               | Unit                   | 135µ membrane          |            | 230µ membrane |            |                    |                         |
|-------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------|---------------|------------|--------------------|-------------------------|
|                         |                        | AGS Feed               | FO Product | FO Brine      | AGS Feed   | FO Product         | FO Brine                |
| рН                      |                        | 7.5                    | 6.7        | 7.4           | 7.5        | 7.2                | 7.3                     |
| Conductivity            | mS/cm                  | 54.8                   | 0.19       | 78.6          | 54.8       | 0.29               | 75.6                    |
| TDS                     | ppm                    | 39841                  | 78         | 61266         | 39841      | 133                | 62387                   |
| Calcium                 | mg/L                   | 784                    | 2.64       | 1144          | 784        | 2.16               | 1176                    |
| Magnesium               | mg/L                   | 1314                   | 1.17       | 1720          | 1314       | 5.83               | 1846                    |
| Sulfate                 | mg/L                   | 1980                   | 0          | 4600          | 1980       | 0                  | 2100                    |
| Chloride                | mg/L                   | 25457                  | 63         | 40940         | 25457      | 69                 | 38780                   |
| Sodium                  | mg/L                   | 13,853                 | 51         | 20,100        | 13,853     | 44                 | 21,515                  |
| Alkalinity              | mg/L                   | 142                    | 4.3        | 155.6         | 142        | 5.5                | 232                     |
| Boron                   | mg/L                   | 3.3                    | 0.24       | 2.9           | 3.3        | 0.21               | 3.2                     |
| Nitrate                 | mg/L                   | 4.6                    | 0.7        | 4.3           | 4.6        | 0.7                | 4.9                     |
| Copper                  | mg/L                   | <0.05                  | <0.05      | <0.05         | <0.05      | <0.05              | <0.05                   |
| Chromium                | mg/L                   | <0.05                  | <0.05      | <0.05         | <0.05      | <0.05              | <0.05                   |
| Iron                    | mg/L                   | <0.05                  | <0.05      | <0.05         | <0.05      | <0.05              | <0.05                   |
| Silica                  | mg/L                   | 103                    | 0.574      | 20.1          | 103        | 0.49               | 101.5                   |
| Phosphate               | mg/L                   | 0.52                   | 0.11       | 0.3           | 0.52       | 0.02               | 0.40                    |
| Fluoride<br>Physiochemi | mg/L<br><b>cal Ana</b> | ysis <sup>5.8</sup> AG | S feed, FO | Product a     | and FÖ Bri | 0.02<br>ne using 1 | 5.7<br><b>35 micron</b> |

and 230 micron membranes

## Results and Discussions (cont'd)

- Total energy consumption by the FO pilot plant without the conventional electrical heater, PLC and control was around 1-1.2 kWh/m3.
- The tested FO pilot plant can produce freshwater with an energy requirement less than the conventional desalination processes, provided the energy needed for DS recovery is supplied in the form of low grade industrial waste heat or solar thermal energy.

Conclusion

- The innovative FO technology can be considered as an alternative desalination process for seawater desalination.
- Hollow fiber FO membranes with different bore diameters of 135 and 230 μm are suitable for seawater desalination.
- FO technology can produce water that meets the international standards.
- The FO pilot plant over a continuous stable operation of 30 days was capable to produce product water of TDS ≈ 70 to 150 ppm at water recovery ratio of ≈ 30%.
- FO desalination system is economically beneficial in commercial scale by integration of DS regeneration system with the low energy sources such as waste heat.

## Recommendations

- Further applied research are needed to determine the most suitable membrane module and configuration, draw solution, and regeneration system for commercial scale applications.
- Detailed techno-economic analyses are recommended to estimate the actual Capital expenditures (CAPEX) and operating expenses (OPEX) of the investigated FO process and compare the figures obtained to the conventional desalination technologies such as MSF and RO.

## Acknowledgements

Thanks to

Director General of KISR, Director General of KFAS, Ministry of Electricity and Water,

And

Executive Director of WRC/KISR for their support towards the related research

